IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Plaintiff(s) named below, for their Complaint against Defendants named below,

IN RE BARD IVC FILTERS
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

No. 2:15-MD-02641-DGC

AMENDED SECOND AMENDED MASTER SHORT FORM COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR INDIVIDUAL CLAIMS AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

incorporate the Master Complaint for Damages in MDL 2641 by reference (Doc. 364). Plaintiff(s) further show the Court as follows: 1. Plaintiff/Deceased Party: Janet Fay Layman____ 2. Spousal Plaintiff/Deceased Party's spouse or other party making loss of consortium claim: _N/A 3. Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator): _N/A_____ Plaintiff's/Deceased Party's state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence 4. at the time of implant: _Michigan_____

Plai	ntiff's current state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence:			
]	Michigan			
Dist	rict Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direc			
filin	g:			
<u>U</u>	nited States District Court – Eastern Michigan District			
Def	endants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):			
X	C.R. Bard Inc.			
X	Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.			
Basis of Jurisdiction:				
X	Diversity of Citizenship			
	Other:			
a.	Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master			
	Complaint:			
Def	endants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is making			
a cla	nim (Check applicable Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s)):			
П	Recovery® Vena Cava Filter			

	ava Filter					
	Vena Cava Filter					
		G2 [®] X Vena Cava Filter				
		Eclipse® Vena Cava Filter				
		Meridian® V	ena Cava Filter			
		Denali® Vena	a Cava Filter			
		Other:				
11.	Date of Implantation as to each product:					
	<u>5/17/2010</u>					
12.	Coun	Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):				
	X	Count I:	Strict Products Liability – Manufacturing Defect			
	X	Count II:	Strict Products Liability – Information Defect (Failure			
		to Warn)				
	X	Count III:	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect			
	X	Count IV:	Negligence - Design			
	X	Count V:	Negligence - Manufacture			
	X	Count VI:	Negligence – Failure to Recall/Retrofit			
	X	Count VII:	Negligence – Failure to Warn			
	X	Count VIII:	Negligent Misrepresentation			
	X	Count IX:	Negligence Per Se			
	X	Count X:	Breach of Express Warranty			
	X	Count XI:	Breach of Implied Warranty			

	X	Count XII:	Fraudulent Misrepresentation			
	X	Count XIII:	Fraudulent Concealment			
	X	Count XIV:	Violations of Applicable Michigan Law Prohibiting			
		Consumer Fraud and Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices				
□ Count XV: Loss of Consortium			Loss of Consortium			
		Count XVI:	Wrongful Death			
	□ Count XVII: Survival					
	X Punitive Damages					
		Other(s):	(please state the facts			
	supporting this Count in the space immediately below)					
13.	13. Jury Trial demanded for all issues so triable?					
	X	Yes				
		No				
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29th day of September, 2016.						
	BABBITT & JOHNSON, P.A.					

By: /s/ Joseph R. Johnson
Joseph R. Johnson (Fla. Bar No. 372250)
Suite 100
1641 Worthington Road
West Palm Beach, FL 33409

(561) 684-2500 jjohnson@babbitt-johnson.com